



3707  
\$

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application of

Applicant : Günter Krautkrämer  
Title : PLASTIC SCREW CLOSURE  
Ser. No. : 09/973,224 ✓  
Filed : October 9, 2001  
Docket : WEB 035 IA  
Examiner : Eloshway, N.  
Art Unit : 3727

R. tent  
7/2/03  
#7/Request  
Second  
**RECEIVED**

JUN 24 2003

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING  
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service  
as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450,  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on June 18, 2003.

William A. Jividen, Attorney

42,695  
Reg. No.

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

**RESPONSE**

This paper is being filed in response to the Office Action mailed January 29, 2003, with a petition and fee for a two-month extension of time, thereby having a response date of June 29, 2003. Reconsideration of the present application is respectfully requested in light of the remarks below.

**Remarks**

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-16 and 18-22 under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Hertrampf (US 6,021,912). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

As the Examiner is well aware, a "claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference." *Verdegaal Bros. v. Union Oil Co. of California*, 814 F.2d 628, 631, 2 USPQ2d 1051, 1053 (Fed. Cir. 1987). "The identical invention must be shown in as complete detail as is contained in the ... claim." *Richardson v. Suzuki Motor Co.*, 868 F.2d 1226, 1236, 9 USPQ2d 1913, 1920 (Fed. Cir. 1989). The elements must be arranged as required by the claim. See *In re Bond*, 910 F.2d 831, 15 USPQ2d 1566 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

TC 3700 MAIL  
JUN 30 2003  
**RECEIVED**